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  ▶ **Elementary Discourse Unit (EDU) Segmentation**

  \[ \text{[A person]}_{\text{EDU}} \text{ [who never made a mistake]}_{\text{EDU}} \text{ [never tried anything new]}_{\text{EDU}} \]
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- **Supervised** models are more flexible in using more features (e.g., cue phrases, length and similarity scores) and generally perform better than unsupervised models, however, come with the price of efforts to manually design informative features.
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Motivation

- Due to the sparsity of 'yes' boundary tags in EDU and topic segmentation tasks, CRFs did not provide any additional gain over simple classifiers like MaxEnt.

- The limitation of Sequence-to-sequence model is that the output vocabulary (i.e., from which O1 and O2 are drawn) is fixed so that it needs to train different models with respect to different vocabularies.
SegBot: Neural Text Segmentation - Model Architecture

Figure 1: The model architecture of SegBot. Input sequence: $U_0, U_1, ..., U_8$. Identified boundaries: $U_3, U_6, U_8$. 

Training: Teacher forcing
Test: Copy boundary’s neighbor
**SegBot:** Neural Text Segmentation - Encoding Phase

\[ z_n = \sigma(W_z x_n + R_z h_{n-1} + b_z) \]  
(1)

\[ r_n = \sigma(W_r x_n + R_r h_{n-1} + b_r) \]  
(2)

\[ n_n = \tanh(W_h x_n + R_h (r_n \odot h_{n-1}) + b_h) \]  
(3)

\[ h_n = z_n \odot h_{n-1} + (1 - z_n) \odot y_n \]  
(4)

\[ h_n = \overrightarrow{h_n} \oplus \overleftarrow{h_n} \]  
(5)
In decoding phase, at each step, the decoder takes a start of a segment in the input sequence as input. The decoder hidden state at a time step is computed by a unidirectional RNN.

\[ d_m = GRU(x_m, \theta) \]  

(6)
**SegBot**: Neural Text Segmentation - Pointing

Boundary distribution

Encoder hidden states

Decoder hidden states

Training: Teacher forcing

Test: Copy boundary’s neighbor

\[ u_j^m = \mathbf{v}^T \tanh(\mathbf{W}_1 h_j + \mathbf{W}_2 d_m), \text{ for } j \in (m, \ldots, M) \]  

\[ p(y_m|x_m) = \text{softmax}(u^m) \]  

where \( j \in [m, M] \) indicates a possible position in the input sequence, and \( \text{softmax} \) normalizes \( u_j^m \) indicating the probability that the unit \( U_j \) is a boundary given the start unit \( U_m \).
The loss function $\mathcal{L}$ is the negative log likelihood of boundary distribution over the whole training set $\mathcal{D}$, and can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega) = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} - \log p(y_m|\mathbf{x}_m; \omega) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \| \omega \|_2^2$$  \hfill (9)
1. Topic Segmentation
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Experiment: Topic Segmentation

Data: Choi Dataset

- 700 documents, each being a concatenation of 10 segments.
- A segment of a document is the first $n$ (s.t. $3 \leq n \leq 11$, totally 4 subsets) sentences of a randomly selected document from the Brown corpus.

Evaluation Metric: $P_k$

$$P_k = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq t \leq T} 1(\delta_{tr}(s, t) \neq \delta_{hyp}(s, t))$$  (10)

The error metric $P_k$, which is the commonest metric to evaluate topic segmentation models.

$P_k$ compares the inferred segmentation with gold-standard. Note that lower $P_k$ means higher accuracy.
## Experiment: Topic Segmentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$P_k$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>TextTiling [Hearst, 1997]</td>
<td>45.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C99 [Choi, 2000]</td>
<td>10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U00 [Utiyama and Isahara, 2001]</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADDP [Ji and Zha, 2003]</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TSM [Du et al., 2013]</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GraphSeg [Glavaš et al., 2016]</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>TopSeg [Brants et al., 2002]</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F04 [Fragkou et al., 2004]</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M09 [Misra et al., 2009]</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SEGBot (our model)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.33</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>TopicTiling [Riedl and Biemann, 2012]</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BiLSTM-CRF [Lample et al., 2016]</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SEGBot (our model)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.11</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Segmentation results on Choi dataset. Significant improvement over BiLSTM-CRF is marked with * ($p$-value < 0.01).*
Some who have written on Utopia have treated it as “a learned diversion of a learned world”, “a phantasy with which More amused himself”, “a holiday work, a spontaneous overflow of intellectual high spirits, a revel of debate, paradox, comedy and invention”. With respect to this view, two points are worth making. First, it appears to be based on the fact that on its title page Utopia is described as “festivus”, “gay”. The Midwest, oxidation ponds are used extensively for the treatment of domestic sewage from suburban areas. The high cost of land and a few operational problems resulting from excessive loadings have created the need for a wastewater treatment system with the operational characteristics of the oxidation pond but with the ability to treat more organic matter per unit volume. Research at Fayette, Missouri on oxidation ponds has shown that the BOD in the treated effluent varied from 30 to 53 mg with loadings from 8 to 120 lb. Since experience indicates that effluents from oxidation ponds do not create major problems at these BOD concentrations, the goal for the effluent quality of the accelerated treatment system was the same as from conventional oxidation ponds. A proton magnetic resonance study of polycrystalline Afj as a function of magnetic field and temperature is presented. Afj is paramagnetic, and electron paramagnetic dipole as well as nuclear dipole effects lead to line broadening. The lines are asymmetric and over the range of field Afj gauss and temperature Afj the asymmetry increases with increasing Afj and decreasing T. An isotropic resonance shift of Afj to lower applied fields indicates a weak isotropic hyperfine contact interaction. The general theory of resonance shifts is used to derive a general expression for the second moment Afj of a polycrystalline paramagnetic sample and is specialized to Afj.
Experiment: EDU Segmentation

Data: Rhetorical Structure Theory Discourse Treebank (RST-DT)
- A training set of 347 articles (6,132 sentences)
- A test set of 38 articles (991 sentences)

Evaluation Metric: P, R, F1

Following previous work [Hernault et al., 2010, Joty et al., 2015], we measure segmentation accuracy with respect to the sentence-internal segmentation boundaries.

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{c}{h}, \quad \text{Recall} = \frac{c}{g}, \quad \text{and F-score} = \frac{2c}{g + h} \quad (11)
\]
### Experiment: EDU Segmentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HILDA [Hernault et al., 2010]</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPADE [Soricut and Marcu, 2003]</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;R [Fisher and Roark, 2007]</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS [Joty et al., 2015]</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiLSTM-CRF [Lample et al., 2016]</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SegBot</strong> (our model)</td>
<td><strong>91.6</strong>*</td>
<td><strong>92.8</strong>*</td>
<td><strong>92.2</strong>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant improvements over BiLSTM-CRF is marked with * ($p$-value < 0.01).

**Table 2:** Segmentation results on RST-DT Dataset.
Sheraton and Pan Am said they are assured under the Soviet joint-venture law that they can repatriate profits from their hotel venture.

Figure 2: Visualization of EDU segmentation.
Web Application

- **Interface**: http://138.197.118.157:8000/segbot/

- **User API**: http://138.197.118.157:8000/segbot/api/?q=
  ```python
  import urllib
  response = urllib.request.urlopen(url).read()
  print(response)
  ```

Figure 3: Interface of **SegBot** for EDU Segmentation.

List of Elementary Discourse Units:
1. Sheraton and Pan Am said
2. they are assured under the Soviet joint-venture law
3. that they can repatriate profits from their hotel venture.
Conclusion

- **SegBot**, an end-to-end neural model for text segmentation at different levels of granularity.
- **SegBot** does not need hand-crafted features or any prior knowledge of the given texts.
- We have demonstrated the effectiveness of SEGBOT against state-of-the-art solutions.
- A web application.
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